Single Bench

(4)

GF 5002.

(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 5661 / 2006

PETITIONERS :

1. Vyas Narayan Diwakar,
S/o. Sri Surit Ram, aged about 41 years, Upper Division Teacher, Govt. Boys Higher Secondary School, Hardi Bazar, Distt. –Korba (C.G.)
2. Shatruhan Singh Markam
S/o. Late Sri Dayaram Markam aged about 39 years, Upper Division Teacher, Superintendent Post Mattrick Aadivashi Balak Chhatrawash Hardi Bazar, Distt.-Korba (C.G.)
3. Gopal Prasad Lahre,
S/o. Sri Meghram Lahre, aged about 40 years, Upper Division Teacher Govt. Higher Secondary School- Utarda, Distt. –Korba (C.G.)
4. Bhagirathi Jangde,
S/o. Sri Sukhiram Jangde, aged about 40 years , Upper Division Teacher, Govt. (Tribal Welfare) High. School-Utarda Distt. –Korba (C.G.)
5. Nagendra Kumar Srivastava,
S/o. Sri Janardan Lal, aged about 42 years, Upper Division Teacher Govt. Boys Higher Secondary School, Hardi Bazar, Distt-Korba (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5683/06
Amit Singh
11(10)06

RECEIVED-COPY
11/X/06
A. G. BILASPUR

उपयुक्त आयुक्त
पुस.के.गुप्ता
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
बिलासपुर संभाग

(3)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgrh, Through – The Secretary, Department of Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department, Chhattisgarh Govt. Raipur
3. Assistant Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department, Distt.-Korba (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(45)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S)No. 5661 of 2006

Petitioners : Vyas Narayan Diwakar & others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Shri Anil Singh Rajput, Advocate for the petitioners.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(26th October, 2006)

The following order of the Court is passed by Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

1. By this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek a direction to the respondents to pay the increments and seniority on the basis of the date of their initial appointments in the light of the decision rendered by the learned State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur in O.A. No. 1452/98 (Harish Chand Singh & others Vs. State of M.P. & others) dated 31.1.2000, O.A.No. 802/98 (Akhilesh Mishra & others Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh & others) and O.A.No. 991/98 (Ramayan Prasad Dwivedi & others Vs. State of M.P. & others).

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners placed on record a copy of the order dated 23.3.2006 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 2577/2003 (Nem Singh Thakur and others Vs. State of

(46)

Chhattisgarh & others) and submits that the petitioners are similarly situated, therefore, the similar order may be passed.

3. The cases of the petitioners are that they were appointed as Upper Division Teachers in the School Education Department on probation for a period of two years with the fixed salary. However, later on after completion of two years probation, the petitioners were regularized but they were not given the regular pay scale. Other similarly situated teachers moved the State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur for regular pay scale, wherein learned Tribunal vide order dated 31.1.2000 allowed the same. The petitioners made several representations to accord the same treatment as they are also similarly situated Upper Division Teachers, but the respondents have not paid any heed. Thus, this petition.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners may be allowed to make a representation to the respondents to give similar treatment to the petitioners and the same pay scale, which have been sanctioned to other similarly situated teachers on account of the order of the State Administrative Tribunal dated 31.1.2000, to which learned State counsel has no objection.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, in the opinion of this Court the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners appears to be reasonable. Therefore, the petitioners are allowed to make a representation within a period of two weeks from today to the respondents, raising all their grievances and in turn the respondents

(47)

shall decide the said representation in an objective manner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of such representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioners and taking into account the order dated 31.1.2000 passed by the learned State Administrative Tribunal in O.A. No. 1452/98, and if the petitioners stand on the same footings, as the petitioners in the O.A. No. 1452/98 then they be also sanctioned the same pay scale and seniority.

6. This writ petition is accordingly disposed of with the aforesaid direction. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu